## Introduction:

This is an annually updated report which traces the history of the Idaho property tax reduction program, commonly known as the "Circuit Breaker" program. This report also includes current program statistics and charts.

Key Recent Program Trends:
Per claimant and total benefits paid increased slightly in 2009 and the number of claims filed and approved stabilized. There was also an increase in the percent of property taxes covered from an average of $76 \%$ in 2008 to an average of $78.4 \%$ in 2009 . This proportion remains well below the percent of taxes paid in 2006 , when per claim benefits averaged $86 \%$ of property taxes.

The major reason for the increase in the proportion of taxes paid by circuit breaker benefits in 2009 appears to have been a decrease in per claimant taxes. Statewide, it is estimated that overall primary residential property taxes decreased by an average of about $15 \%$ due to decreases in value of a greater magnitude than for other property types. Property eligible for circuit breaker benefits participated in this decrease, but to a lesser extent, with an average property tax decrease of about 4\%. At the same time, per claim benefits paid increased by 1.3\% and the total amount paid by the state increased 1. $6 \%$.

The median income of the average claimant continued to increase from $\$ 14,900$ reported in the 2008 program to $\$ 15,500$ reported in the 2009 program. While this increase in income produces a bracket creep phenomenon that normally erodes benefits and lessens state payments, the amount paid by the state grew both overall and per claimant. Much of the bracket creep occurred among the lowest brackets where maximum benefits tend to exceed taxes. Movement upwards between these brackets has a very limited benefit reduction effect. For similar reasons, for counties, such as Ada (where this phenomenon was analyzed), with many residential properties decreasing in value and tax in 2009, benefits paid did not decrease as much as taxes ($10 \%$ for tax and $-4 \%$ for average benefits of repeat claimants in Ada County). Finally, at least in Ada County, both taxes and benefits were higher for new claimants than for the claimants that received benefits in 2008, but are no longer in the property tax reduction program.

In 2009, 69\% of all claimants had all property taxes on their homesteads paid by this program. This is slightly higher in comparison to 2008, but lower than the $73 \%$ of claimants for whom
all property taxes were paid by the program in 2007 .

## History and General Program Information:

Idaho's circuit breaker program provides reduced property taxes to elderly, disabled, and widowed taxpayers. The state replaces local government property tax revenue that otherwise would be lost because of the tax reduction allowance.

The circuit breaker program began in 1974 , evolving from a previously existing widow's exemption. In its first year, 15,932 circuit breaker claims were submitted and 15,924 were approved for benefits totaling $\$ 1.87$ million, of which $\$ 1.5$ million was paid by the state, with the remainder paid by counties.

Changes occurring in various aspects of the program have tended to produce a broader, more widely available tax relief system reaching some middle, as well as lower, income senior citizens and certain other homeowners. The following table describes program participation changes in general terms.

Circuit Breaker Historical Participation Patterns

| Year | General Trend | Discussion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1975 <br> 1977 | and | Large decreases | | Initial year claimants fail to |
| :--- |
| reapply. Maximum income not |
| responsive to inflation. |\(\left|\begin{array}{l}Maximum income and maximum benefit <br>


increased.\end{array}\right|\)| Disabled persons now eligible for |
| :--- |
| benefits. |

Chart I provides a chronology of major program statistics, including benefits and participation and annual changes in per claim and total benefits since program inception.

CHART I:

| Circuit Breaker Statistics and History |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approved Claimants: |  | Benefits Paid and Changes in Benefits: |  |  |  | Eligibility: |  |
| Year (Calendar) | Number | Percent change from prior year | Average \$ per <br> Claimant | Percent Change in per Claim \$ | Total (\$ <br> Millions) | Percent Change in Total Costs | Maximum <br> Income <br> (\$) | Maximum <br> Benefit <br> (\$) |
| 1974 | 15,924 | \#N/A | 117.43 | \#N/A | 1.87 | \#N/A | 5,000 | 200 |
| 1975 | 13,912 | -12.6\% | 116.45 | -0.8\% | 1.62 | -13.4\% | 5,000 | 200 |
| 1976 | 14,336 | 3.0\% | 117.19 | 0.6\% | 1.68 | 3.7\% | 5,500 | 200 |
| 1977 | 13,322 | -7.1\% | 119.35 | 1.8\% | 1.59 | -5.4\% | 5,500 | 200 |
| 1978 | 15,786 | 18.5\% | 184.34 | 54.5\% | 2.91 | 83.0\% | 7,500 | 400 |
| 1979 | 15,467 | -2.0\% | 185.56 | 0.7\% | 2.87 | -1.4\% | 7,500 | 400 |
| 1980 | 15,650 | 1.2\% | 174.44 | -6.0\% | 2.73 | -4.9\% | 8,750 | 400 |
| 1981 | 17,160 | 9.6\% | 174.83 | 0.2\% | 3.00 | 9.9\% | 10,000 | 400 |
| 1982 | 17,633 | 2.8\% | 182.61 | 4.5\% | 3.22 | 7.3\% | 11,100 | 400 |
| 1983 | 17,649 | 0.1\% | 177.35 | -2.9\% | 3.13 | -2.8\% | 11,900 | 400 |
| 1984 | 17,417 | -1.3\% | 181.43 | 2.3\% | 3.16 | 1.0\% | 11,900 | 400 |
| 1985 | 17,347 | -0.4\% | 188.51 | 3.9\% | 3.27 | 3.5\% | 12,300 | 400 |
| 1986 | 17,605 | 1.5\% | 199.94 | 6.1\% | 3.52 | 7.6\% | 12,730 | 400 |
| 1987 | 18,757 | 6.5\% | 206.32 | 3.2\% | 3.87 | 9.9\% | 13,120 | 400 |
| 1988 | 19,725 | 5.2\% | 210.90 | 2.2\% | 4.16 | 7.5\% | 13,320 | 400 |
| 1989 | 20,073 | 1.8\% | 214.22 | 1.6\% | 4.30 | 3.4\% | 13,860 | 400 |
| 1990 | 20,777 | 3.5\% | 216.10 | 0.9\% | 4.49 | 4.4\% | 14,410 | 400 |
| 1991 | 21,026 | 1.2\% | 218.30 | 1.0\% | 4.59 | 2.2\% | 15,100 | 400 |
| 1992 | 21,222 | 0.9\% | 284.14 | 30.2\% | 6.03 | 31.4\% | 15,920 | 600 |
| 1993 | 22,324 | 5.2\% | 336.04 | 18.3\% | 7.50 | 24.4\% | 16,510 | 800 |
| 1994 | 23,012 | 3.1\% | 358.13 | 6.6\% | 8.24 | 9.9\% | 16,990 | 800 |
| 1995 | 24,254 | 5.4\% | 363.04 | 1.4\% | 8.81 | 6.8\% | 17,430 | 800 |
| 1996 | 24,185 | -0.3\% | 397.27 | 9.4\% | 9.61 | 9.1\% | 17,910 | 900 |
| 1997 | 24,629 | 1.8\% | 419.29 | 5.5\% | 10.33 | 7.5\% | 18,380 | 1,000 |
| 1998 | 24,431 | -0.8\% | 445.75 | 6.3\% | 10.89 | 5.5\% | 18,920 | 1,100 |
| 1999 | 24,331 | -0.4\% | 471.42 | 5.8\% | 11.47 | 5.3\% | 19,310 | 1,200 |
| 2000 | 24,209 | -0.5\% | 483.29 | 2.5\% | 11.70 | 2.0\% | 19,570 | 1,200 |
| 2001 | 24,175 | -0.1\% | 496.38 | 2.7\% | 12.00 | 2.6\% | 20,050 | 1,200 |
| 2002 | 24,684 | 2.1\% | 517.34 | 4.2\% | 12.77 | 6.4\% | 20,750 | 1,200 |
| 2003 | 26,031 | 5.5\% | 540.78 | 4.5\% | 14.08 | 10.3\% | 21,290 | 1,200 |
| 2004 | 26,493 | 1.8\% | 564.93 | 4.5\% | 14.97 | 6.3\% | 21,580 | 1,200 |
| 2005 | 26,656 | 0.6\% | 579.46 | 2.6\% | 15.45 | 3.2\% | 22,040 | 1,200 |
| 2006 | 28,737 | 7.8\% | 534.09 | -7.8\% | 15.35 | -0.6\% | 28,000 | 1,320 |
| 2007 | 28,202 | -1.9\% | 543.12 | 1.7\% | 15.32 | -0.2\% | 28,000 | 1,320 |
| 2008 | 27,831 | -1.3\% | 554.43 | 2.1\% | 15.43 | 0.7\% | 28,000 | 1,320 |
| 2009 | 27,920 | 0.3\% | 561.40 | 1.3\% | 15.67 | 1.6\% | 28,000 | 1,320 |
| Totals | 762,892 |  | 356.00 |  | 271.59 |  |  |  |

To put these increases in perspective, the Consumer Price Index increased by 228\% between July 1978 and July 2009 . However, inflation adjusted current program costs are only 64\% higher than 1978 program costs. During this same period, the number of claimants increased by 77\%. The inflation adjusted benefit per claimant is equivalent to 93\% of the 1978 amount.

Benefits have been fully state funded through a continuous appropriation from the sales tax account since 1987, and in all but the following years:

| 1974: | State paid $\$ 1.5$ million, which represented $80 \%$ of total approved benefits; |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1982: | State paid \$2.93 million or 90.9\%; |
| 1983: | ```State paid $2.799 million or 89.5% in Dec. 1983, with remainder paid in April }1984\mathrm{ after supplemental appropriation;``` |
| 1984: | State paid \$2.935 million or $93.0 \%$; |
| 1985: | State paid \$3.106 million or $94.9 \%$; |
| 1986: | State paid \$3.186 million or $90.6 \%$. |

The following charts demonstrate 2009 circuit breaker benefits and remaining taxes for claimants at various income levels:

## 2009 Circuit Breaker Benefits Percent of Tax Paid



## 2009 Circuit Breaker Benefits Average Taxes Owing after Benefits



The typical claimant in 2009 had income of about $\$ 15,500$ (up from $\$ 14,900$ in 2008), while average per claimant property taxes were about $\$ 715$ (a decrease of about 4\% since 2008), of which circuit breaker benefits typically cover all but $\$ 155$ (22\%). For claimants
with incomes greater than the median, there is a sharp drop off in the percent of taxes paid by the program.

The charts attached to this report include detailed 2009 statistics and show changes in benefits since the early years of the circuit breaker program. The impact of doubling maximum individual benefits in 1978 and increasing these benefits by another 50\% in 1992, 33\% in 1993, 12.5\% in 1996, 11.1\% in 1997, 10\% in 1998, 9\% in 1999, and 10\% in 2006 is readily apparent on several of these charts. Prior to 2006, maximum benefits had not changed in several years. The effect of the current freeze in benefits and income brackets is less obvious this year. The effect of other major program changes is described in the following sections.

Cost of Living Adjustments:
From 1982 through 2006, factors were applied annually (except 19831984) to adjust income brackets so that claimants were not penalized by inflation compensation paid by Social Security. As has been noted, this principle changed as a result of legislation in 2006 that raised the income ceiling, but tied future increases to a formula based on federal poverty guidelines. Because the current income ceiling exceeds these guidelines there was no adjustment in the income ceiling in 2007, 2008, or 2009 and none is expected for at least the next year or two. Although this diminished program participation in 2007 and 2008, this trend did not continue in 2009.

By grouping 2009 applicants according to income, it is evident that the vast majority of applicants are in the lowest income brackets. The median household income for claimants this year was $\$ 15,500$.

| 2009 Claimants by Income Bracket <br> Based on Income Received in 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Income <br> Bracket <br> at Least: | Up to: | Number <br> of <br> Claims | \% <br> of <br> Claims | Cumulative <br> $\%$ <br> Claims |  |
| - | 11,270 | 7,986 | $28.6 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ |  |
| 11,271 | 13,630 | 3,858 | $13.8 \%$ | $42.4 \%$ |  |
| 13,631 | 16,060 | 3,743 | $13.4 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ |  |
| 16,061 | 18,450 | 3,351 | $12.0 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ |  |
| 18,451 | 20,840 | 2,912 | $10.4 \%$ | $78.3 \%$ |  |
| 20,841 | 23,210 | 2,596 | $9.3 \%$ | $87.6 \%$ |  |
| 23,211 | 25,610 | 2,027 | $7.3 \%$ | $94.8 \%$ |  |
| 25,611 | 28,000 | 1,447 | $5.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |
|  | Total: | 27,920 | $100.0 \%$ |  |  |

Medical Expense Deduction:
The average medical expense deduction increased 12.8\% from $\$ 3,372$ in 2008 to $\$ 3,802$ in 2009. This follows an 11\% increase in 2008. This year, 89\% of all applicants utilized this provision. Since applicants typically receive about 58.4\% of the maximum benefits for which they are eligible, this deduction translates into about $\$ 152$ per claim or $\$ 4.2$ million in overall benefits. Allowance of this deduction, therefore, increases program costs by about 37\%.

## Allowance of Benefits for Widows and Disabled Persons:

The vast majority of all program beneficiaries are senior citizens over age 65. Categorization of all applicants follows:

| Claimants by Type of Eligibility - 2009 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Status | Number of Claims | \% of Claims |
| Over age 65 | 22,868 | $81.9 \%$ |
| Younger Widows | 1,371 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Younger Widowers | 163 | $0.6 \%$ |
| $10+$ SC VA Disabled | 216 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Non-SC VA Disabled | 47 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Social Security Disabled | 2,963 | $10.6 \%$ |
| Other \& Multiple | 292 | $1.0 \%$ |
|  | 27,920 | $100.0 \%$ |

The proportion of widows and widowers grew in 2009 , while the proportion of disabled veterans dropped significantly. However, this decrease appears to be due to changes in reporting information
and compilation of statistics and should not be considered meaningful. There was also an increase in the proportion of claimants with Social Security disabilities.

## State Audit of Claims:

In its annual audit of applications, the tax commission attempts to determine the validity of all claims submitted. Since the inception of this audit process in 1977 , net savings of $\$ 4,344,986$ have been realized. Audit savings related to 2009 claims remain very high at $\$ 394,863$. In addition, during calendar year 2009 , re-audit of prior year claims using additional data not originally available resulted in deficiency notices in the amount of $\$ 296,480$, of which $67 \%$ has been collected to date.

Alan S. Dornfest
Property Tax Policy Supervisor
January 30, 2010

| Circuit Breaker: 2009 Claims Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01/27/10 | NUMBER | CHA | GED OR | NUMBER | 2009 |
|  | OF CLAIMS | DISAPPR | VED BY STC: | OF CLAIMS | APPROVED |
|  | SUBMITTED |  |  | APPROVED | BENEFITS |
| COUNTY | 2009 | CHANGES | DISAPPROVALS | BY STC | (\$) |
| Ada | 3,889 | 424 | 77 | 3,812 | 2,633,454.98 |
| Adams | 193 | 8 | 3 | 190 | 76,317.14 |
| Bannock | 1,401 | 124 | 18 | 1,383 | 889,337.16 |
| Bear Lake | 218 | 4 | 3 | 215 | 73,644.56 |
| Benewah | 408 | 27 | 24 | 384 | 113,159.24 |
| Bingham | 800 | 77 | 15 | 785 | 445,890.56 |
| Blaine | 114 | 8 | 1 | 113 | 89,053.66 |
| Boise | 174 | 11 | 6 | 168 | 76,274.72 |
| Bonner | 1,025 | 100 | 15 | 1,010 | 445,607.50 |
| Bonneville | 1,564 | 111 | 25 | 1,539 | 968,046.48 |
| Boundary | 391 | 30 | 6 | 385 | 163,331.44 |
| Butte | 103 | 9 | 3 | 100 | 38,194.78 |
| Camas | 21 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 10,120.94 |
| Canyon | 3,301 | 194 | 67 | 3,234 | 2,075,882.13 |
| Caribou | 151 | 23 | 1 | 150 | 73,576.70 |
| Cassia | 536 | 74 | 19 | 517 | 188,324.56 |
| Clark | 10 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 1,482.14 |
| Clearwater | 361 | 22 | 4 | 357 | 131,868.42 |
| Custer | 120 | 11 | 2 | 118 | 27,836.26 |
| Elmore | 417 | 26 | 2 | 415 | 208,091.02 |
| Franklin | 268 | 34 | 4 | 264 | 150,729.78 |
| Fremont | 370 | 51 | 15 | 355 | 137,461.42 |
| Gem | 678 | 50 | 8 | 670 | 323,838.16 |
| Gooding | 394 | 31 | 0 | 394 | 201,064.94 |
| Idaho | 718 | 26 | 16 | 702 | 221,364.08 |
| Jefferson | 407 | 39 | 3 | 404 | 231,626.30 |
| Jerome | 500 | 79 | 15 | 485 | 308,293.22 |
| Kootenai | 2,711 | 117 | 24 | 2,687 | 1,438,210.78 |
| Latah | 428 | 55 | 6 | 422 | 237,300.02 |
| Lemhi | 344 | 30 | 7 | 337 | 113,180.04 |
| Lewis | 161 | 22 | 6 | 155 | 75,573.94 |
| Lincoln | 111 | 21 | 3 | 108 | 50,687.36 |
| Madison | 263 | 31 | 7 | 256 | 152,042.20 |
| Minidoka | 682 | 25 | 13 | 669 | 266,301.64 |
| Nez Perce | 1,024 | 54 | 19 | 1,005 | 729,937.42 |
| Oneida | 128 | 13 | 11 | 117 | 53,373.62 |
| Owyhee | 265 | 20 | 3 | 262 | 107,216.44 |
| Payette | 731 | 75 | 10 | 721 | 421,477.60 |
| Power | 166 | 8 | 3 | 163 | 94,775.72 |
| Shoshone | 749 | 40 | 8 | 741 | 393,424.68 |
| Teton | 49 | 4 | 1 | 48 | 19,488.02 |
| Twin Falls | 1,472 | 32 | 5 | 1,467 | 915,002.04 |
| Valley | 163 | 6 | 1 | 162 | 75,479.08 |
| Washington | 431 | 38 | 9 | 422 | 227,058.02 |
| Totals: | 28,410 | 2,186 | 490 | 27,920 | 15,674,400.91 |
| 2008 | 28,372 | 2,079 | 541 | 27,831 | 15,432,527.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| CIRCUIT BREAKER: 2009 CLAIMS SUMMARY |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 27 / 10$ | AUDIT CHECKS COMPLETED IN 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| COUNTY | \# SOCIAL SECURITY CHECKS | \# VA CHECKS | \# RR CHECKS | CHECKED FOR TAX RETURN | NEW APPS NOTED |
| Ada | 3,889 | 30 | 0 | 3,889 | 833 |
| Adams | 193 | 2 | 0 | 193 | 37 |
| Bannock | 1,401 | 9 | 4 | 1,401 | 214 |
| Bear Lake | 218 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 22 |
| Benewah | 408 | 3 | 1 | 408 | 25 |
| Bingham | 800 | 3 | 1 | 800 | 110 |
| Blaine | 114 | 0 | 0 | 114 | 30 |
| Boise | 174 | 1 | 0 | 174 | 34 |
| Bonner | 1,025 | 9 | 1 | 1,025 | 183 |
| Bonneville | 1,564 | 8 | 0 | 1,564 | 301 |
| Boundary | 391 | 2 | 0 | 391 | 55 |
| Butte | 103 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 22 |
| Camas | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 6 |
| Canyon | 3,301 | 20 | 2 | 3,301 | 784 |
| Caribou | 151 | 1 | 0 | 151 | 22 |
| Cassia | 536 | 4 | 0 | 536 | 64 |
| Clark | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Clearwater | 361 | 4 | 0 | 361 | 59 |
| Custer | 120 | 3 | 0 | 120 | 25 |
| Elmore | 417 | 1 | 0 | 417 | 53 |
| Franklin | 268 | 2 | 0 | 268 | 42 |
| Fremont | 370 | 4 | 0 | 370 | 73 |
| Gem | 678 | 6 | 0 | 678 | 100 |
| Gooding | 394 | 3 | 1 | 394 | 59 |
| Idaho | 718 | 8 | 0 | 718 | 91 |
| Jefferson | 407 | 0 | 0 | 407 | 57 |
| Jerome | 500 | 5 | 0 | 500 | 56 |
| Kootenai | 2,711 | 25 | 0 | 2,711 | 361 |
| Latah | 428 | 6 | 0 | 428 | 48 |
| Lemhi | 344 | 3 | 0 | 344 | 59 |
| Lewis | 161 | 4 | 0 | 161 | 13 |
| Lincoln | 111 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 13 |
| Madison | 263 | 3 | 0 | 263 | 36 |
| Minidoka | 682 | 0 | 0 | 682 | 53 |
| Nez Perce | 1,024 | 8 | 0 | 1,024 | 138 |
| Oneida | 128 | 3 | 0 | 128 | 19 |
| Owyhee | 265 | 1 | 0 | 265 | 46 |
| Payette | 731 | 11 | 1 | 731 | 127 |
| Power | 166 | 1 | 0 | 166 | 28 |
| Shoshone | 749 | 4 | 0 | 749 | 96 |
| Teton | 49 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 12 |
| Twin Falls | 1,472 | 0 | 0 | 1,472 | 230 |
| Valley | 163 | 1 | 0 | 163 | 26 |
| Washington | 431 | 10 | 1 | 431 | 53 |
| Totals: | 28,410 | 210 | 12 | 28,410 | 4,715 |

PROPERTY TAX REDUCTION: 2009 CLAIMS SUMMARY

| $101 / 27 / 10$ <br> COUNTY | TOTAL BENEFIT CHANGES ON APPLICATIONS (STC AUDIT) |  |  | MEDICAL EXPENSES REPORTED BY CLAIMANTS: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL \$ CLAIMED | \# APPS. <br> W/MEDICAL | \% CLAIMING MEDICAL | \$ MED PER CLAIM W/MED | AVERAGE MED S: COUNTY |
|  | DECREASED | INCREASED | NET |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ada | 107,662.00 | 11,125.00 | 96,537.00 | \$15,262,996.00 | 3,577 | 93.8\% | 4,266.98 | 4,003.93 |
| Adams | 1,791.00 | 0.00 | 1,791.00 | 775,357.00 | 174 | 91.6\% | 4,456.07 | 4,080.83 |
| Bannock | 19,393,00 | 2,253.00 | 17,140.00 | 4,838,679.00 | 1,197 | 86.6\% | 4,042.34 | 3,498.68 |
| Bear Lake | 1,292.00 | 60.00 | 1,232.00 | 949,569.00 | 198 | 92.1\% | 4,795.80 | 4,416.60 |
| Benewah | 5,855.00 | 35.00 | 5,820.00 | 1,221,005.00 | 316 | 82.3\% | 3,863.94 | 3,179.70 |
| Bingham | 15,620.00 | 1,951.00 | 13,669.00 | 2,957,499.00 | 677 | 86.2\% | 4,368.54 | 3,767.51 |
| Blaine | 1,072.00 | 30.00 | 1,042.00 | 802,097.00 | 124 | 109.7\% | 6,468.52 | 7,098.20 |
| Boise | 3,547.00 | 643.00 | 2,904.00 | 583,466.00 | 150 | 89.3\% | 3,889.77 | 3,473.01 |
| Bonner | 12,087.00 | 1,917.00 | 10,170.00 | 3,996,217.00 | 869 | 86.0\% | 4,598.64 | 3,956.65 |
| Bonneville | 26,763.00 | 463.00 | 26,300.00 | 6,765,842.00 | 1,437 | 93.4\% | 4,708.31 | 4,396.26 |
| Boundary | 3,530.00 | 771.00 | 2,759.00 | 1,110,536.00 | 320 | 83.1\% | 3,470.43 | 2,884.51 |
| Butte | 1,527.00 | 0.00 | 1,527.00 | 358,033.00 | 73 | 73.0\% | 4,904.56 | 3,580.33 |
| Camas | 770.00 | 0.00 | 770.00 | 145,907.00 | 22 | 115.8\% | 6,632.14 | 7,679.32 |
| Canyon | 64,602.00 | 2,134.00 | 62,468.00 | 10,836,475,00 | 2,938 | 90.8\% | 3,688.38 | 3,350,80 |
| Caribou | 2,739.00 | 0.00 | 2,739.00 | 682,206,00 | 128 | 85.3\% | 5,329.73 | 4,548.04 |
| Cassia | 9,748.00 | 303.00 | 9,445.00 | 1,038,238.00 | 268 | 51.8\% | 3,874.02 | 2,008.20 |
| Clark | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 34,766.00 | 8 | 80.0\% | 4,345.75 | 3,476.60 |
| Clearwater | 2,144.00 | 558.00 | 1,586.00 | 1,384,928.00 | 322 | 90.2\% | 4,301.02 | 3,879.35 |
| Custer | 820.00 | 0.00 | 820.00 | 339,178.00 | 92 | 78.0\% | 3,686.72 | 2,874.39 |
| Elmore | 2,378.00 | 382.00 | 1,996.00 | 1,418,333.00 | 362 | 87.2\% | 3,918.05 | 3,417.67 |
| Franklin | 4,635.00 | 804.00 | 3,831.00 | 1,157,157.00 | 247 | 93.6\% | 4,684.85 | 4,383.17 |
| Fremont | 8,125.00 | 625.00 | 7,500.00 | 1,761,557.00 | 342 | 96.3\% | 5,150.75 | 4,962.13 |
| Gem | 6,232.00 | 1,198.00 | 5,034.00 | 2,103,605.00 | 551 | 82.2\% | 3,817.79 | 3,139.71 |
| Gooding | 2,705.00 | 512.00 | 2,193.00 | 1,141,398.00 | 329 | 83,5\% | 3,469.29 | 2,896.95 |
| Idaho | 4,696.00 | 296.00 | 4,400,00 | 3,023,373.00 | 610 | 86.9\% | 4,956.35 | 4,306.80 |
| Jefferson | 4,579.00 | 200.00 | 4,379,00 | 1,713,250,00 | 358 | 88.6\% | 4,785.61 | 4,240.72 |
| Jerome | 14,105.00 | 2,451.00 | 11,654.00 | 1,517,578.00 | 415 | 85.6\% | 3,656.81 | 3,129.03 |
| Kootenai | 24,098.00 | 1,810.00 | 22,288.00 | 10,164,668.00 | 2,381 | 88.6\% | 4,269.08 | 3,782.91 |
| Latah | 10,270.00 | 1,228.00 | 9,042.00 | 1,693,240.00 | 366 | 86.7\% | 4,626.34 | 4,012.42 |
| Lemhi | 4,661.00 | 340.00 | 4,321.00 | 1,168,286.00 | 294 | 87.2\% | 3,973.76 | 3,466.72 |
| Lewis | 3,284.00 | 784.00 | 2,500.00 | 490,694.00 | 145 | 93.5\% | 3,384.10 | 3,165.77 |
| Lincoln | 2,593.00 | 100.00 | 2,493.00 | 439,806.00 | 96 | 88.9\% | 4,581.31 | 4,072.28 |
| Madison | 7,772.00 | 1,626.00 | 6,146.00 | 1,106,611.00 | 235 | 91.8\% | 4,708.98 | 4,322.70 |
| Minidoka | 4,487.00 | 280.00 | 4,207.00 | 2,157,933.00 | 538 | 80.4\% | 4,011.03 | 3,225.61 |
| Nez Perce | 10,045.00 | 484.00 | 9,561.00 | 4,729,922.00 | 919 | 91.4\% | 5,146.81 | 4,706.39 |
| Oneida | 5,282.00 | 239.00 | 5,043.00 | 419,705.00 | 87 | 74.4\% | 4,824.20 | 3,587.22 |
| Owyhee | 1,512.00 | 0.00 | 1,512.00 | 932,549.00 | 218 | 83.2\% | 4,277.75 | 3,559.35 |
| Payette | 10,032.00 | 1,561.00 | 8,471.00 | 2,707,044.00 | 689 | 95.6\% | 3,928.95 | 3,754.57 |
| Power | 2,625.00 | 40.00 | 2,585.00 | 529,361.00 | 139 | 85.3\% | 3,808.35 | 3,247.61 |
| Shoshone | 5,720.00 | 140.00 | 5,580.00 | 2,695,686.00 | 648 | 87.4\% | 4,160.01 | 3,637,90 |
| Teton | 393.00 | 0.00 | 393.00 | 205,156.00 | 45 | 93.8\% | 4,559.02 | 4,274.08 |
| Twin Falls | 3,987,00 | 219.00 | 3,768.00 | 6,717,052.00 | 1,430 | 97.5\% | 4,697.24 | 4,578.77 |
| Valley | 586.00 | 0.00 | 586.00 | 674,518.00 | 151 | 93.2\% | 4,467.01 | 4,163.69 |
| Washington | 7,269.00 | 608.00 | 6,661.00 | 1,396,640.00 | 342 | 81.0\% | 4,083.74 | 3,309.57 |
| Totals: | 433,033.00 | 38,170,00 | 394,863.00 | 106,148,116.00 | 24,827 | 88.9\% | 4,275.51 | 3,801 87 |


| 2009 ELIGIBILITY STATUS OF CIRCUIT BREAKER CLAIMANTS UNDER AGE 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $01 / 27 / 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% | NSC |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | SC DIS | DIS. | SS |  |  | MULTIPLE |  |
| COUNTY | Widows | Widowers | VETS | VETS | Disabled | Blind | POW | (COMB) | SUBTOTAL |
| Ada | 145 | 16 | 17 | 3 | 326 | 10 | 0 | 41 | 558 |
| Adams | 11 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 40 |
| Bannock | 177 | 19 | 5 | 2 | 193 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 423 |
| Bear Lake | 10 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 36 |
| Benewah | 18 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 82 |
| Bingham | 31 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 131 |
| Blaine | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Boise | 7 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| Bonner | 38 | 9 | 10 | 6 | 169 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 252 |
| Bonneville | 78 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 272 |
| Boundary | 10 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 90 |
| Butte | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1. | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Camas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Canyon | 184 | 22 | 11 | 7 | 348 | 5 | 0 | 32 | 609 |
| Caribou | 9 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 17 |
| Cassia | 17 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 75 |
| Clark | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Clearwater | 11 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 87 |
| Custer | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| Elmore | 23 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 81 |
| Franklin | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 28 |
| Fremont | 18 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| Gem | 33 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 110 |
| Gooding | 18 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 |
| Idaho | 43 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 74 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 148 |
| Jefferson | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 59 |
| Jerome | 20 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
| Kootenai | 153 | 14 | 14 | 4 | 353 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 550 |
| Latah | 15 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 91 |
| Lemhi | 24 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 81 |
| Lewis | 4 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 39 |
| Lincoln | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 14 |
| Madison | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 36 |
| Minidoka | 24 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 95 |
| Nez Perce | 30 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 132 |
| Oneida | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 23 |
| Owyhee | 13 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| Payette | 20 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 84 |
| Power | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 30 |
| Shoshone | 50 | 6 | 20 | 2 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 202 |
| Teton | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Twin Falls | 49 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 109 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 174 |
| Valley | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 34 |
| Washington | 18 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 0 |  | 64 |
| Totals: | 1,371 | 163 | 216 | 47 | 2,963 | 31 | 0 | 261 | 5,052 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ of Approved Claims | 4.91\% | 0.58\% | 0.77\% | 0.17\% | 10.61\% | 0.11\% | 0.00\% | 0.93\% | 18.09\% |

2009 ELIGIBILITY STATUS OF CIRCUIT BREAKER CLAIMANTS OVER AGE 65

|  | Only | - | $\square$ | 10\% SC | NSC |  | 退 | $\square$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Over |  |  | DIS | DIS. | SS |  |  | MULTIPLE | SUB | GRAND |
| COUNTY | 65 | Widows | Widowers | VETS | VETS | Disabled | Blind | POW | (COMB) | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| Ada | 1,796 | 1,121 | 118 | 38 | 14 | 0 | 28 | 3 | 136 | 3,254 | 3,812 |
| Adams | 91 | 35 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 150 | 190 |
| Bannock | 427 | 418 | 53 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 960 | 1,383 |
| Bear Lake | 59 | 92 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 179 | 215 |
| Benewah | 171 | 93 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 302 | 384 |
| Bingham | 229 | 329 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 654 | 785 |
| Blaine | 67 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 111 | 113 |
| Boise | 74 | 39 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 133 | 168 |
| Bonner | 181 | 247 | 40 | 222 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 48 | 758 | 1,010 |
| Bonneville | 570 | 561 | 74 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 43 | 1,267 | 1,539 |
| Boundary | 178 | 72 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 295 | 385 |
| Butte | 30 | 37 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 85 | 100 |
| Camas | 11 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| Canyon | 1,346 | 1,001 | 153 | 41 | 16 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 49 | 2,625 | 3,234 |
| Caribou | 49 | 74 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 133 | 150 |
| Cassia | 318 | 97 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 442 | 517 |
| Clark | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 |
| Clearwater | 132 | 91 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 270 | 357 |
| Custer | 35 | 45 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 101 | 118 |
| Elmore | 196 | 80 | 30 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 334 | 415 |
| Franklin | 113 | 100 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 236 | 264 |
| Fremont | 112 | 131 | 34 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 299 | 355 |
| Gem | 275 | 209 | 40 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 560 | 670 |
| Gooding | 169 | 114 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 332 | 394 |
| Idaho | 359 | 141 | 27 | 10 | 1. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 554 | 702 |
| Jefferson | 145 | 168 | 20 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 345 | 404 |
| Jerome | 280 | 97 | 27 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 421 | 485 |
| Kootenai | 1,367 | 624 | 87 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 33 | 2,137 | 2,687 |
| Latah | 164 | 122 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 331 | 422 |
| Lemhi | 170 | 57 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 256 | 337 |
| Lewis | 74 | 22 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 116 | 155 |
| Lincoln | 50 | 35 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 94 | 108 |
| Madison | 110 | 87 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 220 | 256 |
| Minidoka | 331 | 194 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 34 | 574 | 669 |
| Nez Perce | 549 | 238 | 38 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 873 | 1,005 |
| Oneida | 49 | 37 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 117 |
| Owyhee | 108 | 76 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 8 | 217 | 262 |
| Payette | 324 | 215 | 47 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 29 | 637 | 721 |
| Power | 89 | 37 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 133 | 163 |
| Shoshone | 215 | 234 | 50 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 539 | 741 |
| Teton | 30 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 42 | 48 |
| Twin Falls | 508 | 618 | 97 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 36 | 1,293 | 1,467 |
| Valley | 51 | 53 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 128 | 162 |
| Washington | 302 | 36 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 358 | 422 |
| Totals: | 11,911 | 8,113 | 1,262 | 530 | 103 | 0 | 136 | 13 | 800 | 22,868 | 27,920 |
| $\%$ of Approved Claims | 42.66\% | 29.06\% | 4.52\% | 1.90\% | 0.37\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.05\% | 2.87\% | 81.91\% | 100.00\% |

2009 Income Stratifcation of Property Tax Reduction Applications

| Maximum Eligibility Amounts Shown in () |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $01 / 27110$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | REDUCTION |
|  | 11,270 | \$11,271 - | \$13,631- | \$16,061 - | \$18,451- | \$20,841 - | \$23,211 - | \$25,611 - |  |  |
|  | OR LESS | \$13,630 | \$16,060 | \$18,450 | \$20,840 | \$23,210 | \$25,610 | \$28,000 | CLAIMS |  |
| COUNTY | ( $\$ 1,320$ ) | (\$1290/\$1160) | (\$1120/\$990) | (\$960/\$820) | (\$790/8660) | (\$620/\$490) | (\$450/\$320) | (\$290/8150) | APPROVED |  |
| Ada | 830 | -518 | 493 | 496 | 452 | 415 | 354 | 254 | 3,812 | 76.52\% |
| Adams | 64 | 22 | 23 | 27 | 11 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 190 | 41.56\% |
| Bannock | 319 | 197 | 189 | 186 | 172 | 135 | 123 | 62 | 1,383 | 69.06\% |
| Bear Lake | 73 | 19 | 30 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 19 | 7 | 215 | 35.25\% |
| Benewah | 110 | 49 | 50 | 49 | 42 | 35 | 18 | 31 | 384 | 30.99\% |
| Bingham | 205 | 134 | 101 | 105 | 68 | 76 | 52 | 44 | 785 | 59.00\% |
| Blaine | 41 | 12 | 13 | 10 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 113 | 80.74\% |
| Boise | 56 | 22 | 24 | 26 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 5 | 168 | 44.87\% |
| Bonner | 287 | 126 | 146 | 116 | 103 | 90 | 87 | 55 | 1,010 | 46.39\% |
| Bonneville | 375 | 223 | 228 | 203 | 162 | 155 | 110 | 83 | 1,539 | 66.61\% |
| Boundary | 116 | 61 | 53 | 42 | 34 | 35 | 28 | 16 | 385 | 43.04\% |
| Butte | 22 | 14 | 16 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 100 | 42.03\% |
| Camas | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 56.28\% |
| Canyon | 928 | 451 | 434 | 356 | 366 | 334 | 217 | 148 | 3,234 | 66.57\% |
| Caribou | 48 | 25 | 17 | 24 | 13 | 12 | 9 | 2 | 150 | 48.01\% |
| Cassia | 154 | 80 | 84 | 64 | 48 | 35 | 31 | 21 | 517 | 36.39\% |
| Clark | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 15.73\% |
| Clearwater | 98 | 43 | 50 | 45 | 36 | 38 | 27 | 20 | 357 | 39.16\% |
| Custer | 41 | 18 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 118 | 23.21\% |
| Elmore | 131 | 56 | 49 | 53 | 40 | 34 | 33 | 19 | 415 | 51.37\% |
| Franklin | 91 | 42 | 28 | 28 | 26 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 264 | 57.00\% |
| Fremont | 122 | 53 | 38 | 40 | 31 | 32 | 25 | 14 | 355 | 38.77\% |
| Gem | 171 | 101 | 90 | 84 | 67 | 54 | 52 | 51 | 670 | 51.50\% |
| Gooding | 132 | 51 | 47 | 51 | 51 | 34 | 16 | 12 | 394 | 50.74\% |
| Idaho | 234 | 85 | 97 | 92 | 65 | 45 | 53 | 31 | 702 | 31.78\% |
| Jefferson | 133 | 52 | 55 | 38 | 40 | 32 | 39 | 15 | 404 | 58.47\% |
| Jerome | 183 | 63 | 71 | 41 | 41 | 29 | 38 | 19 | 485 | 62.32\% |
| Kootenai | 768 | 359 | 384 | 310 | 288 | 247 | 177 | 154 | 2,687 | 55.70\% |
| Latah | 124 | 48 | 64 | 50 | 37 | 44 | 31 | 24 | 422 | 58.90\% |
| Lemhi | 121 | 46 | 33 | 41 | 31 | 33 | 18 | 14 | 337 | 33.47\% |
| Lewis | 45 | 15 | 26 | 30 | 13 | 16 | 6 | 4 | 155 | 49.27\% |
| Lincoln | 38 | 17 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 108 | 47.72\% |
| Madison | 80 | 33 | 28 | 35 | 26 | 32 | 11 | 11 | 256 | 60.83\% |
| Minidoka | 206 | 100 | 84 | 82 | 68 | 56 | 42 | 31 | 669 | 40.39\% |
| Nez Perce | 284 | 130 | 138 | 116 | 110 | 107 | 75 | 45 | 1,005 | 75.98\% |
| Oneida | 41 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 117 | 45.93\% |
| Owyhee | 102 | 34 | 29 | 23 | 27 | 15 | 19 | 13 | 262 | 40.40\% |
| Payette | 234 | 112 | 89 | 74 | 78 | 54 | 48 | 32 | 721 | 58.72\% |
| Power | 62 | 13 | 18 | 20 | 11 | 18 | 11 | 10 | 163 | 59.63\% |
| Shoshone | 211 | 116 | 85 | 87 | 72 | 73 | 50 | 47 | 741 | 55.42\% |
| Teton | 23 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 48 | 39.05\% |
| Twin Falls | 469 | 202 | 219 | 174 | 141 | 112 | 92 | 58 | 1,467 | 62.47\% |
| Valley | 53 | 28 | 18 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 162 | 46.53\% |
| Washington | 153 | 64 | 53 | 44 | 34 | 30 | 27 | 17 | 422 | 52.71\% |
| Totals: | 7,986 | 3,858 | 3,743 | 3,351 | 2,912 | 2,596 | 2,027 | 1,447 | 27,920 | 58.39\% |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \% \text { of Approved } \\ \text { Claims } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 28.60\% | 13.82\% | 13.41\% | 12.00\% | 10.43\% | 9.30\% | 7.26\% | 5.18\% | 100.00\% |  |


| 2009 Circuit Breaker Benefits to be paid: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Property Tax Reduction Payments to be Paid: |  |  |  |
| 01/27/10 | Total | December 22, 2008 | June 22, 2009 |
| COUNTY |  |  |  |
| Ada | 2,633,454.98 | 1,316,727.49 | 1,316,727.49 |
| Adams | 76,317.14 | 38,158.57 | 38,158.57 |
| Bannock | 889,337.16 | 444,668.58 | 444,668.58 |
| Bear Lake | 73,644.56 | 36,822.28 | 36,822.28 |
| Benewah | 113,159.24 | 56,579.62 | 56,579.62 |
| Bingham | 445,890.56 | 222,945.28 | 222,945.28 |
| Blaine | 89,053.66 | 44,526.83 | 44,526.83 |
| Boise | 76,274.72 | 38,137.36 | 38,137.36 |
| Bonner | 445,607.50 | 222,803.75 | 222,803.75 |
| Bonneville | 968,046.48 | 484,023.24 | 484,023.24 |
| Boundary | 163,331.44 | 81,665.72 | 81,665.72 |
| Butte | 38,194.78 | 19,097.39 | 19,097.39 |
| Camas | 10,120.94 | 5,060.47 | 5,060.47 |
| Canyon | 2,075,882.13 | 1,037,941.07 | 1,037,941.06 |
| Caribou | 73,576.70 | 36,788.35 | 36,788.35 |
| Cassia | 188,324.56 | 94,162.28 | 94,162.28 |
| Clark | 1,482.14 | 741.07 | 741.07 |
| Clearwater | 131,868.42 | 65,934.21 | 65,934.21 |
| Custer | 27,836.26 | 13,918.13 | 13,918.13 |
| Elmore | 208,091.02 | 104,045.51 | 104,045.51 |
| Franklin | 150,729.78 | 75,364.89 | 75,364.89 |
| Fremont | 137,461.42 | 68,730.71 | 68,730.71 |
| Gem | 323,838.16 | 161,919.08 | 161,919.08 |
| Gooding | 201,064.94 | 100,532.47 | 100,532.47 |
| Idaho | 221,364.08 | 110,682.04 | 110,682.04 |
| Jefferson | 231,626.30 | 115,813.15 | 115,813.15 |
| Jerome | 308,293.22 | 154,146.61 | 154,146.61 |
| Kootenai | 1,438,210.78 | 719,105.39 | 719,105.39 |
| Latah | 237,300.02 | 118,650.01 | 118,650.01 |
| Lemhi | 113,180.04 | 56,590.02 | 56,590.02 |
| Lewis | 75,573.94 | 37,786.97 | 37,786.97 |
| Lincoln | 50,687.36 | 25,343.68 | 25,343.68 |
| Madison | 152,042.20 | 76,021.10 | 76,021.10 |
| Minidoka | 266,301.64 | 133,150.82 | 133,150.82 |
| Nez Perce | 729,937.42 | 364,968.71 | 364,968.71 |
| Oneida | 53,373.62 | 26,686.81 | 26,686.81 |
| Owyhee | 107,216.44 | 53,608.22 | 53,608.22 |
| Payette | 421,477.60 | 210,738.80 | 210,738.80 |
| Power | 94,775.72 | 47,387.86 | 47,387.86 |
| Shoshone | 393,424.68 | 196,712.34 | 196,712.34 |
| Teton | 19,488.02 | 9,744.01 | 9,744.01 |
| Twin Falls | 915,002.04 | 457,501.02 | 457,501.02 |
| Valley | 75,479.08 | 37,739.54 | 37,739.54 |
| Washington | 227,058.02 | 113,529.01 | 113,529.01 |
| Totals: | 15,674,400.91 | 7,837,200.46 | 7,837,200.46 |

CHANGE IN CLAIMS SUBMITTED 2009 vs 2008

| COUNTY | Number of Claims Submitted 2008 | Number of Claims Submitted 2009 | Change in Number of Claims | Percent Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ada | 3,906 | 3,889 | (17) | -0.4\% |
| Adams | 200 | 193 | (7) | -3.5\% |
| Bannock | 1,380 | 1,401 | 21 | 1.5\% |
| Bear Lake | 227 | 218 | (9) | -4.0\% |
| Benewah | 382 | 408 | 26 | 6.8\% |
| Bingham | 791 | 800 | 9 | 1.1\% |
| Blaine | 115 | 114 | (1) | -0.9\% |
| Boise | 175 | 174 | (1) | -0.6\% |
| Bonner | 1,096 | 1,025 | (71) | -6.5\% |
| Bonneville | 1,516 | 1,564 | 48 | 3.2\% |
| Boundary | 377 | 391 | 14 | 3.7\% |
| Butte | 106 | 103 | (3) | -2.8\% |
| Camas | 23 | 21 | (2) | -8.7\% |
| Canyon | 3,248 | 3,301 | 53 | 1.6\% |
| Caribou | 150 | 151 | 1 | 0.7\% |
| Cassia | 525 | 536 | 11 | 2.1\% |
| Clark | 8 | 10 | 2 | 25.0\% |
| Clearwater | 358 | 361 | 3 | 0.8\% |
| Custer | 118 | 120 | 2 | 1.7\% |
| Elmore | 437 | 417 | (20) | -4.6\% |
| Franklin | 261 | 268 | 7 | 2.7\% |
| Fremont | 364 | 370 | 6 | 1.6\% |
| Gem | 673 | 678 | 5 | 0.7\% |
| Gooding | 420 | 394 | (26) | -6.2\% |
| Idaho | 712 | 718 | 6 | 0.8\% |
| Jefferson | 408 | 407 | (1) | -0.2\% |
| Jerome | 487 | 500 | 13 | 2.7\% |
| Kootenai | 2,657 | 2,711 | 54 | 2.0\% |
| Latah | 420 | 428 | 8 | 1.9\% |
| Lemhi | 348 | 344 | (4) | -1.1\% |
| Lewis | 170 | 161 | (9) | -5.3\% |
| Lincoln | 116 | 111 | (5) | -4.3\% |
| Madison | 252 | 263 | 11 | 4.4\% |
| Minidoka | 700 | 682 | (18) | -2.6\% |
| Nez Perce | 1,042 | 1,024 | (18) | -1.7\% |
| Oneida | 119 | 128 | 9 | 7.6\% |
| Owyhee | 270 | 265 | (5) | -1.9\% |
| Payette | 728 | 731 | 3 | 0.4\% |
| Power | 158 | 166 | 8 | 5.1\% |
| Shoshone | 765 | 749 | (16) | -2.1\% |
| Teton | 44 | 49 | 5 | 11.4\% |
| Twin Falls | 1,499 | 1,472 | (27) | -1.8\% |
| Valley | 173 | 163 | (10) | -5.8\% |
| Washington | 448 | 431 | (17) | -3.8\% |
| Totals: | 28,372 | 28,410 | 38 | 0.1\% |

Circuit Breaker Claims Comparison

|  | 2008 | 2009 | Change | 2008 | 2009* | \% Change | 2008 | 2009 | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approved | Approved | in | Approved | Approved | in | Benefits | Benefits | in |
| 01/27/10 | Claims | Claims | Approved | Benefits | Benefits | Approved | per | per | Per Claim |
| COUNTY |  |  | Claims | (\$) | (\$) | Benefits | Claim | Claim | Benefits |
| Ada | 3,847 | 3,812 | (35) | 2,636,345.36 | 2,633,454.98 | -0.11\% | 685.30 | 690.83 | 0.81\% |
| Adams | 193 | 190 | (3) | 74,093.38 | 76,317.14 | 3.00\% | 383.90 | 401.67 | 4.63\% |
| Bannock | 1,359 | 1,383 | 24 | 869,240.39 | 889,337.16 | 2.31\% | 639.62 | 643.05 | 0.54\% |
| Bear Lake | 224 | 215 | (9) | 69,713.86 | 73,644.56 | 5.64\% | 311.22 | 342.53 | 10.06\% |
| Benewah | 355 | 384 | 29 | 106,801.26 | 113,159.24 | 5.95\% | 300.85 | 294.69 | -2.05\% |
| Bingham | 771 | 785 | 14 | 422,220.10 | 445,890.56 | 5.61\% | 547.63 | 568.01 | 3.72\% |
| Blaine | 111 | 113 | 2 | 88,057.32 | 89,053.66 | 1.13\% | 793.31 | 788.09 | -0.66\% |
| Boise | 170 | 168 | (2) | 73,637.62 | 76,274.72 | 3.58\% | 433.16 | 454.02 | 4.81\% |
| Bonner | 1,063 | 1,010 | (53) | 444,774.86 | 445,607.50 | 0.19\% | 418.41 | 441.20 | 5.44\% |
| Bonneville | 1,490 | 1,539 | 49 | 932,691.88 | 968,046.48 | 3.79\% | 625.97 | 629.01 | 0.49\% |
| Boundary | 363 | 385 | 22 | 148,664.60 | 163,331.44 | 9.87\% | 409.54 | 424.24 | 3.59\% |
| Butte | 101 | 100 | (1) | 40,456.18 | 38,194.78 | -5.59\% | 400.56 | 381.95 | -4.65\% |
| Camas | 23 | 19 | (4) | 10,676.46 | 10,120.94 | -5.20\% | 464.19 | 532.68 | 14.75\% |
| Canyon | 3,189 | 3,234 | 45 | 2,163,845.10 | 2,075,882.13 | -4.07\% | 678.53 | 641.89 | -5.40\% |
| Caribou | 146 | 150 | 4 | 71,601.70 | 73,576.70 | 2.76\% | 490.42 | 490.51 | 0.02\% |
| Cassia | 507 | 517 | 10 | 188,817.08 | 188,324.56 | -0.26\% | 372.42 | 364.26 | -2.19\% |
| Clark | 8 | 10 | 2 | 1,215.90 | 1,482.14 | 21.90\% | 151.99 | 148.21 | -2.48\% |
| Clearwater | 352 | 357 | 5 | 126,801.40 | 131,868.42 | 4.00\% | 360.23 | 369.38 | 2.54\% |
| Custer | 116 | 118 | 2 | 24,725.94 | 27,836.26 | 12.58\% | 213.15 | 235.90 | 10.67\% |
| Elmore | 434 | 415 | (19) | 220,383.14 | 208,091.02 | -5.58\% | 507.80 | 501.42 | -1.25\% |
| Franklin | 259 | 264 | 5 | 142,305.60 | 150,729.78 | 5.92\% | 549.44 | 570.95 | 3.91\% |
| Fremont | 354 | 355 | 1 | 133,093.48 | 137,461.42 | 3.28\% | 375.97 | 387.22 | 2.99\% |
| Gem | 659 | 670 | 11 | 255,608.50 | 323,838.16 | 26.69\% | 387.87 | 483.34 | 24.61\% |
| Gooding | 418 | 394 | (24) | 204,516.02 | 201,064.94 | -1.69\% | 489.27 | 510.32 | 4.30\% |
| Idaho | 689 | 702 | 13 | 211,505.84 | 221,364.08 | 4.66\% | 306.98 | 315.33 | 2.72\% |
| Jefferson | 398 | 404 | 6 | 208,545.54 | 231,626.30 | 11.07\% | 523.98 | 573.33 | 9.42\% |
| Jerome | 477 | 485 | 8 | 295,544.88 | 308,293.22 | 4.31\% | 619.59 | 635.66 | 2.59\% |
| Kootenai | 2,617 | 2,687 | 70 | 1,402,851.21 | 1,438,210.78 | 2.52\% | 536.05 | 535.25 | -0.15\% |
| Latah | 412 | 422 | 10 | 231,816.20 | 237,300.02 | 2.37\% | 562.66 | 562.32 | -0.06\% |
| Lemhi | 337 | 337 | 0 | 108,135.42 | 113,180.04 | 4.67\% | 320.88 | 335.85 | 4.67\% |
| Lewis | 161 | 155 | (6) | 80,017.04 | 75,573.94 | -5.55\% | 497.00 | 487.57 | -1.90\% |
| Lincoln | 115 | 108 | (7) | 54,760.32 | 50,687.36 | -7.44\% | 476.18 | 469.33 | -1.44\% |
| Madison | 245 | 256 | 11 | 155,737.22 | 152,042.20 | -2.37\% | 635.66 | 593.91 | -6.57\% |
| Minidoka | 683 | 669 | (14) | 267,607.52 | 266,301.64 | -0.49\% | 391.81 | 398.06 | 1.59\% |
| Nez Perce | 1,032 | 1,005 | (27) | 733,789.06 | 729,937.42 | -0.52\% | 711.04 | 726.31 | 2.15\% |
| Oneida | 116 | 117 | 1 | 49,832.40 | 53,373.62 | 7.11\% | 429.59 | 456.18 | 6.19\% |
| Owyhee | 265 | 262 | (3) | 99,726.02 | 107,216.44 | 7.51\% | 376.32 | 409.22 | 8.74\% |
| Payette | 713 | 721 | 8 | 420,528.43 | 421,477.60 | 0.23\% | 589.80 | 584.57 | -0.89\% |
| Power | 157 | 163 | 6 | 93,502.01 | 94,775.72 | 1.36\% | 595.55 | 581.45 | -2.37\% |
| Shoshone | 758 | 741 | (17) | 372,605.66 | 393,424.68 | 5.59\% | 491.56 | 530.94 | 8.01\% |
| Teton | 43 | 48 | 5 | 14,518.94 | 19,488.02 | 34.22\% | 337.65 | 406.00 | 20.24\% |
| Twin Falls | 1,493 | 1,467 | (26) | 884,826.94 | 915,002.04 | 3.41\% | 592.65 | 623.72 | 5.24\% |
| Valley | 172 | 162 | (10) | 69,894.04 | 75,479.08 | 7.99\% | 406.36 | 465.92 | 14.66\% |
| Washington | 440 | 422 | (18) | 226,495.20 | 227,058.02 | 0.25\% | 514.76 | 538.05 | 4.52\% |
| Totals: | 27,835 | 27,920 | 85 | 15,432,527.02 | 15,674,400.91 | 1.57\% | 554.43 | 561.40 | 1.26\% |

## Circuit Breaker Claimants <br> Total Number Approved



## Circuit Breaker Claimants Total vs Senior Citizens



Totals may not balance to other charts due to rounding.

## Circuit Breaker Claimants Total Paid



## Circuit Breaker Benefits Amount Paid Per Claimant



## Circuit Breaker Claimants Medical Expenses Per Claimant



## Idaho Circuit Breaker Variation in Program Participation



## Circuit Breaker Audit Benefits Reduced vs Benefits Increased



Increased Benefits $\rightarrow$ Decreased Benefits

